

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JEFFREY E. WALKER,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 MINA BESHARA; FNU LUU; DAVID
15 ROBLESS; AUDRA KING; JOSH
16 BERGER; FNU STEVEN; REBECCA
KORNBLUSH,

17 Defendants.
18
19

Case No. 1:20-cv-01050-NONE-HBK (PC)

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS FOR CLARIFICATION AND TO
EXPEDITE REVIEW AND MOTION FOR
ASSISTANCE

(Doc. Nos. 15, 19, 20)

20 Pending before the Court are plaintiff's motion for clarification and to expedite review,
21 filed March 5, 2021 (Doc. No. 15); request for assistance in case and for court to rule, filed April
22 14, 2021 (Doc. No. 19); and urgent request for assistance in this case under imminent danger
23 request, filed May 13, 2021 (Doc. No. 20). Plaintiff seeks rulings on his various pending motions
24 for a temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction. The undersigned was reassigned
25 to this matter on November 17, 2020. (Doc. No. 9). The court endeavors to handle all matters
26 before it in an expeditious manner. The court has reviewed plaintiff's various motions and issued
27 Findings and Recommendations recommending that the district court deny plaintiff's motion for
28

1 preliminarily injunction or a temporary restraining order. Because the court has addressed these
2 motions, his current motion to clarify, expedite review or for assistance are moot.

3 According, it is **ORDERED**:

4 Plaintiff's motion for clarification and to expedite review (Doc. No. 15), request for
5 assistance in case or for court to rule (Doc. No. 19) and urgent request for assistance (Doc. No.
6 20) are **DENIED as moot**.

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: May 27, 2021


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE